Use of red blood cell fatty-acid profiles as biomarkers in cardiac disease

The Omega-3 Index is defined as the percentage of eicosapentaenoic acid plus docosahexaenoic acid in red blood cell fatty acids, assessed by a standardized methodology. Better than fatty-acid compositions in other compartments, the Omega-3 Index represents a person’s status in eicosapentaenoic acid plus docosahexaenoic acid. An Omega-3 Index less than 4% is associated with a tenfold risk for sudden cardiac death in comparison to an Omega-3 Index greater than 8%. Mechanisms of action are plausible and large-scale intervention studies in humans support causality. A low Omega-3 Index may also be a risk factor for coronary artery disease and for complications of congestive heart failure. Ongoing research will define the value of the Omega-3 Index as a risk factor and treatment parameter more precisely.
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Sudden cardiac death (SCD) is defined as: “Death from unexpected circulatory arrest, usually due to a cardiac arrhythmia, occurring within an hour of the onset of symptoms” [1]. According to this definition, 13% of all natural deaths are due to SCD in Western countries [1]. Established risk factors for SCD identify a very small group of high-risk patients, and necessitate the implantation of a cardioverter-defibrillator [1]. However, the vast majority of SCDs occur in persons not yet identified as being at risk for the condition [1].

Conventional risk factors (e.g., age, blood pressure, low-density lipoproteins [LDLs] and so on) predict coronary artery disease, but not SCD, fairly well [2]. Conventional risk factors are used in risk stratifications such as the Framingham Score or the Score of the European Society for Cardiology [3]. These risk stratifications could be improved substantially by the incorporation of new cardiovascular risk determinants [4,5].

Chronic congestive heart failure is a heterogeneous syndrome, which mainly affects the elderly [6]. Heart failure can be caused by ischemic heart disease, hypertension, dilative cardiomyopathy and a host of other etiologies [6]. Despite conventional therapy, mortality from heart failure remains high, and is mainly due to worsening of heart failure or SCD, indicating an unresolved medical problem [6]. While brain natriuretic peptide can be used to predict death and postdischarge outcomes, many other biomarkers are currently being evaluated [7].

Taken together, there is a need for novel risk factors for SCD, coronary artery disease and for complications of congestive heart failure. An ideal risk factor should be easy to assess (e.g., a routine laboratory parameter), have a high predictive value, have a large incremental informative value, be amenable to treatment with a proven, cheap and low risk intervention, and a change in risk should be assessable by repeat measurement of the risk factor. In this article, the use of the Omega-3 Index as a biomarker in cardiac disease will be discussed.

**The Omega-3 Index**
The Omega-3 Index was defined in 2004 as the sum of eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) acids in red blood cells as a percent of total fatty acids [8]. Clearly, this definition calls for both standardized analytical procedure and evaluation of analytical results, currently available in a very limited number of laboratories. Previously, differences in procedures and evaluation of fatty-acid analyses have resulted in vastly different results reported, obviating a comparison of reports [9]. A joint effort towards standardization of analytical procedures has already borne fruit [10], demonstrating that application of quality assurance measures comparing clinical routine laboratory analyses with fatty-acid analyses is feasible, and ensures results obtained in different laboratories are identical. Applying a standardized methodology, it was found that analytical reproducibility is high (coefficient
of variation: 4–7%), and that biologic variability is low, and in fact much lower than plasma measurements [11].

The Omega-3 Index was proposed because previous work had indicated that red blood cell fatty-acid composition reflects the long-term intake of Omega-3 fatty acids [12]. Although there is some exchange with the surrounding milieu, fatty acids are largely incorporated into blood cell membranes during cell maturation [12]. Other blood cells, such as mononuclear cells, polymorphonuclear leukocytes or platelets, have a shorter lifespan, and therefore reflect a shorter timeframe [12–15]. Plasma-free fatty acids can change in quantity and composition within minutes, whereas some fractions, such as plasma phospholipids, take hours to days to reflect the incorporation of dietary Omega-3 fatty acids [15]. There is a correlation between the Omega-3 Index and cardiac cell EPA plus DHA levels (r = 0.81; p < 0.0001), which was found to be true for an unaltered diet as well as for a change in Omega-3 fatty acid intake [16,17]. Intake of EPA and DHA is only one determinant of the Omega-3 Index: the Omega-3 Index increases by 0.24 units with each additional monthly serving of tuna or nonfried fish [18]. However, it also increases by 0.5 units for each additional decade in age [18]. The Omega-3 Index was found to be 1.13% units lower in subjects with diabetes, and decreased by 0.3% units with each 3-unit increase in BMI. In Japan, females had a higher Omega-3 Index than males, whereas in the USA, a gender difference was not seen [18,19]. Apparently owing to genetic determinants, a third of humans convert α-linolenic acid to EPA (maximum 5%), whereas another third of humans cannot perform this metabolic step, also indicating a genetic influence on the Omega-3 Index [20]. The possibility of finding more determinants that influence the Omega-3 Index is likely [18,21].

Epidemiology

In Kansas City (KS, USA), an average Omega-3 Index of 4.9% was found in the general population [18], which is similar to values in Munich (Germany) [von Schacky C et al., Unpublished Data]. As mentioned previously, the incidence of SCD in the general population in Western countries is approximately 150/100,000 person-years [1]. However, in Japan, the corresponding number is 7.8/100,000 person-years, which is paralleled by an Omega-3 Index among 10% in this population [19,22]. Moreover, a case–control study conducted in Seattle (WA, USA) and published in 1995 indicated that there is an inverse relation between red blood cell Omega-3 fatty-acid concentration and risk for SCD: 3.3% was associated with a relative risk (RR) of 1.0, whereas 6.5% was associated with a RR of 0.1 [23]. This is corroborated by findings from the Physicians Health study [24]. Combining the numbers mentioned results in Figure 1; this figure is partly hypothetical because the Omega-3 Index was not measured using standardized methodology in the studies compiled. Studies currently in progress will add precision to this figure.

In patients with coronary artery disease receiving current standard care in Italy, the incidence of SCD was 828/100,000 patient-years [25]. By contrast, in Japan it was 40/100,000 patient-years in hyperlipidemic patients, 20% of whom had established coronary artery disease along with other risk factors [26]. Thus, there is a 20-fold difference in the incidence of SCD between Italy and Japan in persons with roughly comparable cardiovascular risk. As mentioned previously, a similar difference exists between general populations in Western countries and Japan (Figure 1).

The incidence of nonfatal myocardial infarction in patients at risk for this condition was 1151/100,000 patient-years in Italy, but 156/100,000 patient-years in Japan [25,26]. This is
Mechanisms

*In vitro*, Omega-3 fatty acids prolong the refractory period of the action potential and thus increase electrical stability by specific actions on various ion channels [30]. In animal models of myocardial infarction, infusing Omega-3 fatty acids prevented ventricular tachyarrhythmias [31].

*In vivo*, Omega-3 fatty acids are incorporated into cell membranes, which is likely to have profound effects on cell signaling and function [31]. Since these effects tend to be species specific, measurements need to be performed in humans, and therefore will be indirect. What has been demonstrated, however, was reduced inducibility of ventricular arrhythmias after infusion or ingestion of Omega-3 fatty acids [32,33]. Other mechanisms that may prevent arrhythmias, specifically supraventricular arrhythmias, are a reduced heart rate, accelerated normalization of heart rate after exercise and increased heart rate variability, all demonstrated in randomized intervention studies in humans [34,35]. Based on these mechanistic findings and promising first results [36], several studies currently investigate the effect of dietary Omega-3 fatty acids on atrial fibrillation and its prevention.

A host of mechanisms, as studied *in vivo* or *ex vivo* in randomized intervention studies in humans with Omega-3 fatty acids, have been suggested to mediate an anti-atherosclerotic effect. Among them are reductions in pro-inflammatory or pro-atherogenic cytokines, a triglyceride-lowering effect, reductions in parameters indicating interaction between blood cells and endothelium and others [37–40]. These mechanisms may underlie the plaque-stabilizing effect that has been demonstrated in a randomized intervention study *ex vivo* [41]. Moreover, an increase in the Omega-3 Index from 3.4 to 8.3% mitigated coronary lesion progression in our 2-year, randomized, double-blind angiographic intervention study [42]. Therefore, mechanistic studies and studies with intermediate end points indicate that Omega-3 fatty acids, or an increase in the Omega-3 Index, may also reduce nonfatal coronary events. Of note, however, findings in coronary arteries have not been replicated in carotid arteries, maybe owing to a site-specificity of action of Omega-3 fatty acids [42].

Some of the mechanisms mentioned previously have been invoked to make a positive effect of EPA plus DHA in patients with congestive heart failure likely [43].

**Intervention studies with clinical end points**

Randomized, controlled intervention studies with clinical end points such as mortality and morbidity were conducted in populations at risk for cardiovascular events. These studies used either a dietary advice approach (i.e., the advice to eat fatty fish twice weekly in the Diet and Reinfarction Trial [DART] or DART-2) or purified preparations of Omega-3 fatty acids (1 g EPA + DHA/day in the Gruppo Italiano per lo Studio della Sopravvivenza nell’Infarto miocardico [GISSI] and 1.8 g/day in the Japan EPA Lipid Intervention Study [JELIS]). Whereas some studies were open (DART [44], GISSI-Prevenzione [25], DART-2 [45] and JELIS [26]), GISSI-heart failure (GISSI-HF) was placebo controlled [46].

In a meta-analysis comprising DART, DART-2, GISSI and the literature published up to April 2006, a reduction in total mortality of 17% was found, largely driven by a reduction in fatal reinfarctions and SCD [47]. Two large studies were published later, and will be discussed specifically.

JELIS was a 5-year study on 18,624 hyperlipidemic persons (average LDL 182 ± 30 mg/dl), of whom 20% had established coronary artery disease, 35% were hypertensive, 16% had diabetes and 18% were smokers [26]. A total of 35 SCDs and 25 fatal myocardial infarctions occurred during the study, which was not different between intervention and control groups [26]. The reduction in the primary end point of the study by 19% (fatal and nonfatal events) was entirely driven by a difference in nonfatal events. The incidence of SCD seen in the cardiovascular risk population of Kansas City that a low Omega-3 Index is inversely related to cardiovascular mortality, which was found to be mainly due to heart failure mortality [29].

Taken together, a high Omega-3 Index is associated with a low risk for SCD or nonfatal myocardial infarctions. This phenomenon cannot be replicated in all populations, since a preponderance of SCDs obscures differences in nonfatal events. Whether a low Omega-3 Index predicts risk of developing heart failure and/or its complications remains to be investigated.
JELIS (40/100,000 person-years) was lower than the incidence of SCD in a Western population (~150/100,000). The Omega-3 Index was not measured in JELIS, but plasma fatty-acid measurements indicate that the population studied in JELIS was typically Japanese in terms of fatty acids (i.e., Omega-3 Index among 10%), with a doubling of EPA in the intervention group, while DHA remained constant in both groups [26].

GISSI-HF was presented at the 2008 congress of the European Society for Cardiology, and recently published [46]. A total of 6975 patients with heart failure due to ischemic heart disease (~50%), dilative cardiomyopathy (~29%), hypertensive cardiopathy (~15%) and other diseases (~7%) participated for 3.9 years. Participants were NYHA II (~64%) or III–IV (~36%). Mean left ventricular ejection fraction was 33 ± 8.5%. Patients received current guideline-oriented background therapy. Baseline inequalities called for a prespecified adjustment of results [43]. In the control group, all-cause mortality was 29.1%, whereas it was 27.3% in the intervention group, a 9 relative % reduction (adjusted hazard ratio [HR]: 0.91; 95.5% confidence interval [CI]: 0.83–0.998; p = 0.041). The prespecified combined end point (death and/or cardiovascular hospitalization) was reached by 59.0% of the control group, but only 56.7% of the intervention group (adjusted HR: 0.92; 99% CI: 0.849–0.999; p = 0.009).

Predefined secondary outcomes were cardiovascular death, which occurred in 22.0% of the control group versus 20.4% of the intervention group (adjusted HR: 0.90; 95% CI: 0.81–0.99; p = 0.045), and SCD, which occurred in 9.3% of the control group versus 8.8% of the intervention group (not significant). Nonfatal end points (e.g., hospitalization for cardiovascular reasons or for ventricular arrhythmias) also occurred significantly less frequently in the intervention group. The incidence of SCD was less frequent than expected in GISSI-HF, which was ascribed to the very high percentages of guideline-conforming treatments. This lower than expected incidence was only nonsignificantly reduced, nevertheless the greatest proportion of reduction in total mortality was attributed to presumed arrhythmic death [46].

From the Omega-3 Index perspective, the data from the intervention studies support causality, since the dose of Omega-3 fatty acids provided increased the Omega-3 Index on average, although it was not measured in the studies mentioned [8]. Patients were recruited into the studies irrespective of their Omega-3 Index.

Studies performed in Western populations with a high incidence of SCD demonstrate a reduction in SCD. In studies where there was already a relatively low incidence of SCD, such as JELIS or in GISSI-HF, reductions in other end points such as nonfatal cardiac events were visible.

**Omega-3 fatty acids & the Omega-3 Index in current cardiologic guidelines**

In current ‘Guidelines for Management of Patients with Ventricular Arrhythmias and the Prevention of SCD’, Omega-3 fatty acids are recommended at a level B IIb, indicating that ‘the recommendations usefulness/efficacy is less well established’ and ‘greater conflicting evidence from single randomized trial or nonrandomized study’ [1]. This statement was based on three mid-sized studies in carriers of an implantable cardiovascular defibrillator (ICD) that yielded inconclusive results with respect to their primary end point: action of the device, for instance, antitachycardia pacing and shocks [48–50]. However, 25 participants died in the fish oil groups versus 36 participants in the placebo groups [48–50], a nonsignificant 30% risk reduction, according to a recent meta-analysis (RR: 0.70; 95% CI: 0.42–1.15; p = 0.15) [51].

Of note, while ICDs acted more frequently on ventricular tachycardias in persons with a high Omega-3 Index [52], ICDs acted less frequently on ventricular fibrillations caused by ischemia in persons with a high Omega-3 Index [53]. Similarly, in coronary artery patients prone to developing ischemic arrhythmias, the ICDs tended to act less frequently in the three ICD studies mentioned (HR: 0.79; 95% CI: 0.6–1.06; p = not submitted) [54]. Thus, taken together, simply counting the actions of ICDs may be inadequate to evaluate the effects of Omega-3 fatty acids.

The guideline committee based its recommendation only on the three studies that examined the actions of the ICD (antitachycardia pacing and shocks, and intermediate end points), but did not take into account the results of the clinical end point studies that demonstrated reductions in mortality (especially SCD) and morbidity following ingestion of Omega-3 fatty acids [1]. This is highly unconventional and, in the authors’ personal opinion, wrong. It is hoped that the next edition of the guidelines will use a more conventional approach towards assessing strategies to prevent SCD.

In guidelines concerning treatment after a myocardial infarction or cardiovascular prevention, EPA plus DHA are recommended at 1 g/day in the form of fish or as dietary supplements [3,55].
Guidelines on the treatment of congestive heart failure have yet to incorporate EPA plus DHA [6]. Guidelines on the treatment of supraventricular arrhythmias do not mention Omega-3 fatty acids [56]. To the author’s knowledge, no current cardiology guideline recommends assessing the Omega-3 Index.

Omega-3 Index versus conventional risk markers
As demonstrated in the Physicians’ Health Study, whole blood levels of Omega-3 fatty acids may be more informative in predicting SCD than levels of C-reactive protein, homocysteine, total cholesterol, LDL, high-density lipoprotein and triglycerides [8,24,57]. This is one of the lines of evidence underlying an ongoing project aimed at validating the Omega-3 Index (<4%) as a risk factor for SCD [von Schacky C et al., Unpublished Data]. In this project, the relative contributions of the parameters mentioned (plus the criteria for implantation of an ICD) to SCD risk can be calculated and compared with the predictive value of the Omega-3 Index. Moreover, the incremental informative value of the Omega-3 Index can be estimated.

A number of novel risk factors for coronary artery disease have been suggested; most of them, however, add little to the area under the curve of the c statistic or in statistical models reflecting clinical decision-making [58]. The Omega-3 Index was assessed as a risk factor for acute coronary syndrome in a case–control study of 768 patients with acute coronary syndrome and 768 matched controls. In a multivariate logistic regression analysis (matched for age, sex and race), which adjusted for differences in lipid levels, BMI, diabetes, hypertension, family history of coronary artery disease, personal history of myocardial infarction, alcohol consumption, smoking habits, use of statins, aspirin or antiplatelet drugs, and education level, the Omega-3 Index was inversely associated with odds for acute coronary syndrome [27]. For a one-unit increase in the Omega-3 Index, the odds ratio (OR) decreased by 23% (OR: 0.77; 95% CI: 0.72–0.84; p < 0.0001). Therefore, the Omega-3 Index appeared to provide an independent assessment of risk for acute coronary syndrome [27]. Preliminary data from this study indicate that the Omega-3 Index added incremental informative value to the Framingham Risk Score assessed in these acute coronary syndrome patients [59]. Ongoing investigations in collaboration with the Framingham group seek to expand on these results.

Biomarkers in heart failure were recently reviewed, and only the natriuretic peptides appeared useful [7]. The results of GISSI-HF make it likely that the Omega-3 Index may be a risk factor for the development of complications of congestive heart failure. Only after validation as a risk marker in congestive heart failure can the Omega-3 Index be compared with natriuretic peptides.

Strengths, limitations & future perspective
As mentioned previously, assessing the Omega-3 Index calls for strictly standardized methodology and quality assurance algorithms that are currently used in only three laboratories worldwide. This limits the availability of the test. However, pre-analytical stability of the required EDTA samples has been repeatedly demonstrated to be up to 7 days in the tubes in which the blood was taken, even while samples are being transported [11]. Moreover, a transportation method was developed and validated using a specially prepared filter paper, thus facilitating international transportation of samples [10]. When frozen at -80°C, samples have been demonstrated to be stable for at least 4 years [11]; analyses after 10 years were also plausible [von Schacky C et al., Unpublished Data].

Work is currently in progress to assess the Omega-3 Index in various populations, such as in Korea, Alaska and other parts of the world, and relate the results of these measurements to the incidence of SCD. Prospective evaluations are currently carried out to assess a low Omega-3 Index as a predictor for the development of coronary artery disease (in collaboration with the Framingham Group). Whether a low Omega-3 Index is a risk factor for clinical events in heart failure patients is also currently under investigation. Investigations will be expanded to other cardiology disease entities. Moreover, the Omega-3 Index is increasingly being used as an end point in intervention studies with Omega-3 fatty acids [60]. Taken together, in the next few years, a large body of evidence will appear based on standardized measurements of the Omega-3 Index, adding precision to the value of the Omega-3 Index.

As yet, no intervention studies using the Omega-3 Index as an entry criterion for study participants have been published to the authors’ knowledge. Since every individual thus far studied had a measurable Omega-3 Index, study participants with a high Omega-3 Index are less likely to show an effect of Omega-3 fatty-acid
supplementation. The opposite is probably true for persons with a low Omega-3 Index. Therefore, in order to more clearly delineate the mechanistic and therapeutic effects of Omega-3 fatty acids, study participant selection according to their Omega-3 Index is a logical consequence. The author is unaware of published studies to date using the Omega-3 Index as an entry and target criterion. It would be logical to recruit study participants based on a disease plus a defined Omega-3 Index, calibrate the intervention to a target Omega-3 Index and record the effect on the disease. In areas already known to respond to treatment with Omega-3 fatty acids, this approach would have to be compared with a fixed-dose approach.

Conclusion
The Omega-3 Index is a biomarker that best represents a person’s status in terms of Omega-3 fatty acids in a number of circumstances and for a number of purposes. A low Omega-3 Index has been suggested as a risk factor for SCD, which is supported by mechanistic and intervention studies using Omega-3 fatty acids. Accumulating evidence from several lines indicates that a low Omega-3 Index may also be a risk factor for nonfatal cardiovascular events, such as acute coronary syndrome, and for complications of congestive heart failure. Among the drawbacks of the Omega-3 Index is the necessity of a highly standardized methodology, which is currently implemented in only three laboratories worldwide. Ongoing research will add precision to the predictive value of the Omega-3 Index, a prerequisite for comparing it to other biomarkers.
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Executive summary
- Sudden cardiac death (SCD) is a major cause of death in Western countries, whereas coronary artery disease and congestive heart failure are costly chronic diseases with a high mortality rate.

The Omega-3 Index
- The Omega-3 Index is defined as the sum of eicosapentaenoic plus docosahexaenoic acid in red blood cell fatty acids, determined using a standardized method. The Omega-3 Index represents a person’s status in Omega-3 fatty acids.

Epidemiology
- The incidence of SCD, and less so of acute coronary syndrome, is inversely related to the Omega-3 Index. Less is known in congestive heart failure.

Mechanisms
- Omega-3 fatty acids have been demonstrated to be anti-arrhythmic, anti-inflammatory and anti-atherogenic.

Intervention studies with clinical end points
- Large-scale randomized, controlled intervention studies have demonstrated that Omega-3 fatty acids:
  - Reduce total mortality and SCD in persons with coronary artery disease.
  - Reduce total mortality and morbidity in persons with congestive heart failure.

Omega-3 fatty acids & the Omega-3 Index in current cardiology guidelines
- Cardiac societies recommend increased intake of Omega-3 fatty acids for cardiovascular prevention and after a myocardial infarction. Data from intervention studies also support the increased intake of Omega-3 fatty acids for the prevention of SCD as well as complications of congestive heart failure.

Omega-3 Index versus conventional risk markers
- Data published so far indicate that the Omega-3 Index may be a powerful risk factor for SCD, less so for acute coronary syndrome. Whether the Omega-3 Index is a risk factor for complications of congestive heart failure is not known.

Strengths, limitations & future perspective
- Ongoing research will add precision to the value of the Omega-3 Index in terms of validity, incremental informative value and others. Present research topics are SCD, coronary artery disease and congestive heart failure.
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